# Use of Liquids and/or Soft Foods as Vehicles for Drug Administration: General Considerations for Selection and In Vitro Methods for Product Quality Assessments Guidance for Industry

### DRAFT GUIDANCE

#### This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <u>https://www.regulations.gov</u>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Mamta Gautam-Basak, 301-796-0712.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> July 2018 Pharmaceutical Quality/CMC

## Use of Liquids and/or Soft Foods as Vehicles for Drug Administration: General Considerations for Selection and In Vitro Methods for Product Quality Assessments Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from: Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353 Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> > July 2018 Pharmaceutical Quality/CMC

Draft — Not for Implementation

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
II.	BACKGROUND	. 2
III.	GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	.3
А.	Selection of Liquids and Soft Foods: Compatibility and Suitability	4
В.	Impact of Vehicle on the Drug Product	5
C.	Patient Adherence and Acceptance: Palatability and Swallowability	5
D.	Drug Product and Vehicle	6
1. 2.	Preparation and Handling Procedures Dose and Dosing Volume	6 7
Е.	Drug Product and Vehicle Mixtures for Repeated Use or Multiple Users	7
F.	Special Case: Administration of Drug Product and Vehicle Mixtures via Feeding Tubes	8
G.	Information from In Vivo and In Vitro Studies	8
Н.	Recommendations for Labeling	8
IV	IN VITRO METHODS RECOMMENDED FOR ASSESSING IMPACT OF A	
1	VEHICLE ON PRODUCT QUALITY ATTRIBUTES	10
А.	VEHICLE ON PRODUCT QUALITY ATTRIBUTES	10 10
A. B.	VEHICLE ON PRODUCT QUALITY ATTRIBUTES Analytical Method Assessment of the Drug Substance in the "Mixture"	10 10 10
A. B. 1. 2. 3. 4.	Interface in the indication of the indicating and indication of the indication of the ind	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> </ol>
A. B. 1. 2. 3. 4. V.	Interface in the initial of the Drug Substance in the "Mixture".         Analytical Method.         Assessment of the Drug Substance in the "Mixture".         Sample Handling.         Integrity, Potency, Stability, and Homogeneity         Dissolution/Drug Release Testing         Dosage Form Specific Considerations         LOCATION OF DATA IN SUBMISSIONS	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> </ol>
A. B. 1. 2. 3. 4. V. APPE	VEHICLE ON PRODUCT QUALITY ATTRIBUTES Analytical Method Assessment of the Drug Substance in the "Mixture" Sample Handling Integrity, Potency, Stability, and Homogeneity Dissolution/Drug Release Testing Dosage Form Specific Considerations LOCATION OF DATA IN SUBMISSIONS	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> </ol>
A. B. 1. 2. 3. 4. V. APPE	VEHICLE ON PRODUCT QUALITY ATTRIBUTES Analytical Method Assessment of the Drug Substance in the "Mixture" Sample Handling Integrity, Potency, Stability, and Homogeneity Dissolution/Drug Release Testing Dosage Form Specific Considerations LOCATION OF DATA IN SUBMISSIONS NDIX A Commonly Used Soft Foods and Liquids With Their Approximate pH Range	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>14</li> </ol>
A. B. 1. 2. 3. 4. V. APPE APPE	VEHICLE ON PRODUCT QUALITY ATTRIBUTES Analytical Method Assessment of the Drug Substance in the "Mixture" Sample Handling Integrity, Potency, Stability, and Homogeneity Dissolution/Drug Release Testing Dosage Form Specific Considerations LOCATION OF DATA IN SUBMISSIONS NDIX A NDIX A	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> </ol>
A. B. 1. 2. 3. 4. V. APPE APPE	VEHICLE ON PRODUCT QUALITY ATTRIBUTES Analytical Method Assessment of the Drug Substance in the "Mixture" Sample Handling Integrity, Potency, Stability, and Homogeneity Dissolution/Drug Release Testing Dosage Form Specific Considerations LOCATION OF DATA IN SUBMISSIONS NDIX A Commonly Used Soft Foods and Liquids With Their Approximate pH Range NDIX B	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>15</li> </ol>
A. B. 1. 2. 3. 4. V. APPE APPE	VEHICLE ON PRODUCT QUALITY ATTRIBUTES Analytical Method Assessment of the Drug Substance in the "Mixture" Sample Handling Integrity, Potency, Stability, and Homogeneity Dissolution/Drug Release Testing Dosage Form Specific Considerations LOCATION OF DATA IN SUBMISSIONS NDIX A Commonly Used Soft Foods and Liquids With Their Approximate pH Range NDIX B Examples of Labeling Language	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ol>

Draft — Not for Implementation

# Use of Liquids and/or Soft Foods as Vehicles for Drug Administration: General Considerations for Selection and In Vitro Methods for Product Quality Assessments Guidance for Industry<sup>1</sup>

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

13 14

15

16

24

25 26

27

28

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This guidance applies to orally administered drug products and provides recommendations to sponsors<sup>2</sup> who will use or recommend use of liquids<sup>3</sup> and/or soft foods as vehicles for drug administration in investigational new drug applications (INDs), new drug applications (NDAs), Biologics License Applications (BLAs), as applicable, and in supplements to these applications.<sup>4</sup> This guidance addresses the approaches recommended for suitability determination of vehicles intended for use with specific drug products by providing the following:

- Considerations for selection of liquids and/or soft foods as vehicles.
- Standardized in vitro methodology and data recommendations for drug product quality assessments to qualify vehicle(s) for drug product administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by a multidisciplinary team including offices within the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and the Office of Pediatric Therapeutics in the Office of the Commissioner at the Food and Drug Administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the purposes of this guidance, the term "sponsor" includes "applicant" and "application holder."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Liquid, other than water.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This guidance does not address use of vehicles for the purpose of demonstrating bioequivalence in generic drug products. For abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs), recommendations for in vivo bioequivalence studies involving administration with liquids or soft foods will continue to be communicated in the respective product-specific Agency guidance. With respect to ANDAs and the recommendations contained in this guidance, we note that immediate-release solid oral dosage forms generally are considered to be products for which formulation differences between generic products and their reference listed drug (RLD) would not impact administration with vehicles. The vehicle studies on the RLD would establish the compatibility of the active ingredient with the recommended vehicles, and need not be repeated in an ANDA unless there is a risk that the formulation of the ANDA product would have a different impact on dosing with vehicles. When needed, the in vitro approaches in this guidance could be used to confirm that the formulation of a generic product is compatible with the vehicles of administration in the RLD label. If FDA determined that in vivo data are needed to support use of a vehicle for a generic product, the Agency would describe such data in its recommended product-specific bioequivalence studies.

Draft — Not for Implementation

- Recommendations to communicate acceptable (qualified) vehicles in drug product labeling. If certain foods are found unacceptable, they should also be included in the labeling.
- 32

33 This guidance and the methods it describes do not replace existing guidance documents that

- 34 address food-effect assessments on the drug product<sup>5</sup> or dosage form, or stability testing
- conducted to support a shelf-life determination.<sup>6</sup> For those drug products marketed with a
   vehicle for administration (i.e., the vehicle is co-packaged with the drug product), the
- 37 recommendations regarding selection and methods provided in this guidance are applicable,
- 38 but additional considerations and recommendations may also apply.
- 39
- 40 If a different approach than those recommended in this guidance is used, sponsors are
- encouraged to discuss the proposed approach with the appropriate FDA quality assessment staffbefore conducting the studies.
- 42 b 43
- 44 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.
- 45 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only

46 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of

- 47 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but 48 not required.
- 49 50

#### 51 II. BACKGROUND

52

There are many commercial drug product dosage forms such as granules, pellets, powders, or
tablets for which the drug product labeling includes instructions for the optional use of soft foods
or liquids as vehicles for their administration.

56

57 In the absence of availability of a dosage form that is appropriate for the targeted patient 58 population (e.g., pediatric, geriatric), small amounts of liquids and/or soft foods as described in 59 the FDA-approved product labeling can be used as a suitable vehicle(s) for oral administration 60 and immediate ingestion of the specific drug product. Generally, drug products mixed in small 61 amounts of liquids (5 to 15 mL) or soft foods are used in pediatric and other patient populations 62 who are unable to swallow solid oral dosage forms. Although sponsors are required to develop 63 age-appropriate formulations as part of a pediatric drug development program<sup>7</sup> occasionally the 64 development of age-appropriate dosage forms and formulations proves to be exceedingly 65 complex. The use of a liquid such as infant formula or breast milk and/or soft food as a 66 vehicle(s) may be the only option for delivering the drug substance to the targeted patient

67 population. Liquids and/or soft foods that are shown not to alter performance of the drug

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See guidance for industry *Food-Effect Bioavailability and Fed Bioequivalence Studies* and guidance for industry *Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies Submitted in NDAs or INDS-General Considerations.* We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance web page at

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See ICH guidance for industry Q1A(R2) Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See section 505B(a)(4)(C) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act).

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

68 product, and are deemed compatible and suitable for use in the targeted patient populations, are 69 considered suitable for use as vehicles with the specific drug product. The drug product-vehicle 70 mixture is not considered a new dosage form of the existing drug. 71 72 To ensure consistency in drug product quality when administered with a vehicle, it is important 73 to standardize the methodology supporting vehicle selection, and the supportive data to designate 74 vehicle suitability. Standardization of the preparation and use instructions for the drug product-75 vehicle mixture is also important, as ambiguity in instructions or incomplete information can 76 lead to unintended outcomes, including dosing errors and/or misuse of the drug product. 77 78 The methodology described in this guidance is intended to improve consistency in these areas 79 and applies to potential use of vehicles during different stages of drug development, including 80 lifecycle management as follows: 81 82 • During development (IND stage): to select a vehicle for administering the test drug 83 product to populations who are unable to swallow solid oral dosage forms (e.g., children, 84 older adult patients); and for some bioavailability (BA) studies conducted for 85 formulation development and optimization. 86 87 Prior to marketing application (NDA stage): to propose a vehicle(s) for use of the drug • 88 product for the original or additional condition of use (e.g., a new indication or new 89 patient population). 90 91 Postapproval or supplement submission: to propose changes to the drug product or its • 92 labeling that necessitate reassessment of compatibility and suitability of the approved 93 vehicle. 94 95 Considerations and in vitro methods described in this guidance are also applicable for selecting 96 vehicles out of necessity in unusual circumstances, such as when considering counterterrorism 97 measures.<sup>8</sup> In such cases, when the benefits outweigh risks and alternate dosage forms are 98 unavailable, liquids and/or soft foods may be used as vehicles for specific drug products. 99 100 III. 101 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 102 103 Only those liquids and/or soft foods demonstrated to have no appreciable effect on drug product 104 performance should be proposed as vehicles. The potential impact of a vehicle on drug product 105 performance is determined by assessment of drug product quality attributes, including potency 106 (assay), in vitro dissolution/release, and other pertinent attributes when the drug product is used 107 with the proposed vehicle(s). In section IV, standardized in vitro methods for evaluating 108 compatibility of the proposed liquid and/or soft food are described. 109

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Refer to the following:

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/EmergencyPreparedness/BioterrorismandDrugPreparedness/ucm130996.htm and http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/EmergencyPreparedness/BioterrorismandDrugPreparedness/ucm063814.htm.

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

110 In the subsequent sections of this document, an "intact" drug product refers to solid oral dosage 111 forms such as granules, pellets, powders, as well as certain specific modified release drug products such as coated mini-tablets or beads that are labeled to be administered via sprinkling 112 113 (e.g., capsules or packets containing beads).<sup>9</sup> When a drug product requires handling to make it 114 suitable for administration in a vehicle, such as crushing a tablet, emptying capsule contents, 115 making serial dilutions, or mixing syrup into a vehicle, the resultant product is referred to as a 116 "manipulated" drug product in this document. If critical manipulations are needed, such as 117 emptying capsule contents or crushing a tablet to mix with the vehicle for ease of administration, 118 the impact of the manipulations should be studied. The preparation and use instructions provided 119 in labeling should give clear instructions that can be followed by the patient, caregiver, or 120 healthcare professional in a homecare setting or a healthcare facility.<sup>10</sup> 121 122 The following are key considerations and recommendations for selection and use of vehicles for 123 drug product administration and are intended to ensure that any liquid or soft food proposed as a 124 vehicle does not compromise drug product performance. 125 126 Selection of Liquids and Soft Foods: Compatibility and Suitability A. 127 Using liquids and soft foods as vehicles for drug administration can prove to be challenging 128 129 because many factors such as seasonal, regional and climate conditions can influence the 130 composition of natural food substances. Liquids and soft foods that have relatively small 131 fluctuations in their composition and characteristics (such as sugar content, acidity, viscosity) 132 may be better candidates for screening as potentially compatible liquids and/or soft foods with 133 drug products for further testing. Liquids and/or soft foods should be screened with consideration 134 of the following characteristics: 1) the drug substance, 2) the drug product, 3) the properties of 135 the proposed vehicle, such as its acidity/alkalinity and binding/chelating characteristics, and 4) 136 the target population. For liquid dosage forms, composition of the drug product (including use of 137 stabilizing, emulsifying, and suspending agents) should be considered when selecting possible vehicles to mix with the drug product. If possible, sponsors should identify more than one 138 139 compatible vehicle to provide options for patients with allergy or intolerance to a single vehicle. 140 141 For compatibility assessments, the pH value of proposed liquids and soft foods should be 142 considered before further testing for their compatibility with the intact or manipulated drug

143 product, including products with coatings. For example, for drug products with an intact enteric

144 protective coating suitable for an acidic environment with pH values up to pH 5, the proposed

vehicle should not have a pH value higher than 5, as exposing the coating to higher pH values

146 will disrupt and remove the coating from the drug product.<sup>11,12</sup> See Appendix A for commonly

- 147 used soft foods and liquids with their approximate pH ranges.
- 148

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See guidance for industry *Size of Beads in Drug Products Labeled for Sprinkle*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See guidance for industry *The Content and Format for Pediatric Use Supplements*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Wells KA and Losin WG, 2008, In Vitro Stability, Potency, and Dissolution of Duloxetine Enteric-Coated Pellets After Exposure to Applesauce, Apple Juice, and Chocolate Pudding, Clin Ther, 30(7):1300-1308.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Jurecki ER, Cunningham A, Mahoney JJ, Tingley D, Chung S, James N, Cohen-Pfeffer JL, 2014, Sapropterin Dihydrochloride Mixed with Common Foods and Beverages, Top Clin Nutr, 29 (4), 325-331.

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

149 It is important that a comprehensive suitability determination is performed to evaluate potential

- 150 use of the proposed vehicle in the targeted patient population. Suitability determinations should
- include a composite assessment of multiple factors, such as the patient's medical condition;
- 152 perceptions of the product-vehicle mixture such as flavor, texture, and mouthfeel; and age-
- related responses to physical characteristics of the mixture. For example, perception of mouthfeel of the intact or manipulated drug product in the vehicle mixture will vary with the targeted
- 155 patient population. Graininess of the drug product and vehicle mixture can trigger chewing in
- 155 patient population. Grammess of the drug product and vehicle mixture can trigger chewing in 156 young patients.
- 157

158 If a certain liquid or soft food is considered unsuitable for the targeted patient population, even if 159 the proposed vehicle(s) and the intact or manipulated drug product are chemically compatible, 160 the indicated soft food or liquid may not be considered an acceptable vehicle for use. For

161 example, adding a drug product to applesauce or another soft food is inappropriate if the targeted

162 patient population is infants who are not vet eating solid food. Such data, when available, for

163 liquids and soft foods evaluated and found to be unacceptable should also be submitted,

- 164 including the rationale for avoiding their use as vehicles.
- 165

#### 166 **B.** Impact of Vehicle on the Drug Product

167

168 A direct assessment of the impact of the proposed vehicle on the intact or manipulated drug

169 product should be conducted to determine the compatibility of the proposed vehicle with the

drug product. Specifically, a liquid or soft food is considered suitable for use as a vehicle for

171 drug product administration when it has no appreciable effect on drug product stability or 172 performance. The resulting drug product-vehicle mixture should exhibit no change in potency (as

172 performance. The resulting drug product-venicle inixture should exhibit no change in potency (as 173 determined by assay) over the proposed use time period and no significant change in drug release

174 characteristics. See section IV for recommended methods.

175

176 Once combined, the drug product and vehicle mixture should be ingested immediately (or as

directed in the labeling) to avoid dosing errors or inadvertent dosing, and inadvertent

178 contamination of the drug product-vehicle mixture. When the labeling calls for immediate use of

the mixture, such use should be adequately supported by product quality assessments that are

180 carried out at pre-determined times over two hours after preparation of the mixture. The two-

181 hour assessment period is considered to provide the necessary time window to ensure physical

182 and chemical stability of the drug product-vehicle mixture. Microbiological testing is not needed

183 for the drug product-vehicle mixture if it is intended to be used within two hours because the risk

of microbial proliferation to harmful levels is miminal. See section IV for recommended testmethods.

186

#### 187 C. Patient Adherence and Acceptance: Palatability and Swallowability<sup>13</sup>

188

189 Adherence is defined as the degree of constancy and accuracy with which a patient takes a drug

190 product as instructed by his or her healthcare provider, and is a key factor in successful

191 therapeutic intervention. Factors that impact adherence include: 1) patient acceptance of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Thompson CA, Lombardi DP, Sjostedt P, Squires LA, 2013, Industry Survey on Current Practices in the Assessment of Palatability and Swallowability in the Development of Pediatric Oral Dosage Forms, Therapeutic Innovation and Regulatory Science 47(5):542-549.

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

drug product; 2) the willingness of the patient to use a drug product as intended; and 3) the

ability of the caregiver to administer the drug product as intended.

194

195 Acceptance of the drug product is determined in part by palatability and swallowability that 196 would determine the patient adherence. Palatability is defined as the quality of a drug product 197 that makes it pleasant or acceptable in terms of taste, after-taste, smell, and texture and is a 198 critical factor in determining patient acceptance of oral dosage forms. Swallowability may be 199 defined as the patient being able to take the drug without gagging or choking. Taste sensation 200 develops early in life and evolves with age. In general, the goal should be to develop drug 201 formulations with a neutral taste that would be acceptable to the majority of patients. Although 202 taste-masking technology is advancing rapidly, for those drug products where taste-masking is 203 not possible, alternate approaches such as mixing with appropriate liquids and/or soft foods to 204 mask taste can be employed to improve palatability of a finished dosage form. In cases where the 205 drug substance dissolves in the vehicle, the drug product and vehicle mixture should be 206 adequately taste-masked, if necessary for palatability.

207

208 Palatability of a drug product mixed with a vehicle can be influenced by factors including, but

209 not limited to, concomitant disease, condition or medication and targeted patient population (e.g.,

210 pediatric or geriatric). Disease states can also influence a patient's sensory perceptions and affect

the patient's ability to swallow certain dosage forms. In addition, cultural aspects such as diet

and societal influences can impact a patient's preference for certain liquids or soft foods as vehicles. Therefore, the palatability and swallowability of the drug product mixed with the

213 vehicles. Therefore, the palatability and swallowability of the drug product mixed 214 vehicle should be determined in the intended population of use.

215

Methods for quantitative assessments of palatability and swallowability for drug products are advancing and continue to evolve.<sup>14,15</sup> Sponsors should discuss their planned approach to assess palatability and swallowability of their drug product with the appropriate review divison. The assessments should consider relevant patient characteristics (such as age, disease or medical condition, concomitant medications, etc.), characteristics of the vehicle mixture(s) (such as taste, flavor, texture, and mouthfeel), and the ability of patients in the targeted population to swallow the drug product-vehicle mixture.

223

#### 224 D. Drug Product and Vehicle

225 226

#### 1. Preparation and Handling Procedures

In the selection of the vehicle, consideration should be given to the complexity of the
preparation, homogeneity of the mixture, and handling procedures as these can result in
decreased accuracy in dose delivery. Mixing drug products (intact or manipulated) with liquids

or soft foods may allow masking of an unpleasant taste, after-taste, smell and/or texture, or may

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Squires LA, Lombardi DP, Sjostedt P, Thompson CA, 2013, A Systematic Literature Review on the Assessment of Palatability and Swallowability in the Development of Oral Dosage Forms for Pediatric Patients, Therapeutic Innovation and Regulatory Science, 47(5):533-541.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Stokes JR, Boehm MW, Baier SK, 2013, Oral Processing, texture and mouthfeel: From rheology to tribology and beyond, Curr Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science, 18:349-359.

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

aid to facilitate swallowing of solid oral dosage forms. For ease of administration and to ensure
 dosing accuracy, as appropriate, an oral syringe or measuring spoon should be included with the
 drug product along with clear use instructions.<sup>16</sup>

235

236 Carrying out dilutions accurately in a homecare setting can be difficult. To enable accurate 237 dosing, tablet splitting of non-scored tablets or dilutions should be avoided, unless stated in the 238 label. Even with functionally scored tablets, there are limitations in accurately dividing a tablet into dose strengths beyond that provided by the scoring.<sup>17</sup> For example, we recommend against 239 240 dividing nonfunctionally scored tablets into smaller doses (such as one-fourth of a tablet), 241 because it can result in crumbling of the tablet and inaccuracy of the recommended dose. For 242 unscored tablets the manufacture/availability of multiple strengths of the drug product is highly 243 encouraged.

- 244
- 245

2. Dose and Dosing Volume

246 247 The suggested volume of the vehicle for mixing with solid oral dosage forms should take into 248 consideration the age, size, and average consumption of the vehicle by the targeted patient 249 population. For example, children younger than two years old may not be able or willing to 250 ingest large volumes of liquids or soft foods at one time, whereas this volume may be acceptable 251 for an older child or adult. To ensure administration of the full dose of the drug and to facilitate 252 swallowing, the smallest volume of vehicle(s) sufficient to provide acceptable taste-masking, 253 roughly 5 to 15 mL, should be used to prepare the drug product and vehicle mixture. In addition, 254 homogeneous (i.e., uniform) mixing of the drug product in a smaller volume of vehicle is 255 generally easier and will facilitate complete administration of the dose. If the homogeneous 256 mixing of the intact or manipulated drug product with a small volume of the vehicle is difficult 257 and requires a large volume (e.g., more than 15 mL) of the vehicle for dosing, exploring alternate 258 vehicles should be considered to avoid incomplete dosing if all of the drug product and vehicle 259 mixture cannot be readily ingested.

260

#### 261 E. Drug Product and Vehicle Mixtures for Repeated Use or Multiple Users

262

263 Generally, use of vehicles for drug administration refers to single use of the preparation where 264 the drug product, once mixed with the liquid or soft food, is consumed immediately by a single patient. Under certain circumstances, use of the drug product-vehicle mixture preparation for 265 multiple doses (e.g., one or more patients) can be considered acceptable (i.e., in a healthcare 266 267 facility or in another setting where qualified professionals are responsible for preparing the drug 268 product-vehicle mixtures and dosing the patients). Adequate characterization of the drug product 269 and vehicle mixture (including adequate in-use stability data and microbiological assessments), 270 and instructions for preparing the drug product-vehicle mixture, should be included in the 271 submission to support such multiple dose labeling statements. 272

272

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> We recommend that ANDAs for which the RLD contains these materials submit data and information in the application to demonstrate the proposed generic product contains equivalent materials (e.g., dosing/adminstration device) and labeling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See guidance for industry Tablet Scoring: Nomenclature, Labeling, and Data for Evaluation.

Draft — Not for Implementation

## F. Special Case: Administration of Drug Product and Vehicle Mixtures via Feeding Tubes

276

277 In cases where the drug product labeling describes feeding tube administration, orally 278 administered drug products can be given through a feeding tube (oral or enteral) to pediatric or adult patients who are unable to ingest solid or liquid dosage forms.<sup>18</sup> In addition to the 279 recommended assessments in this guidance, the feasibility and risk of administration of the drug 280 281 product-vehicle mixture through a feeding tube should be addressed. For example, if the product 282 may be administered by feeding tube, then an assessment demonstrating delivery of full volume 283 of the mixture with no loss of drug product or potency is necessary, and should include the 284 volume of solution to be used to rinse the feeding tube to ensure complete administration of the 285 mixture. Similarly, factors that can result in the risk of drug aspiration or blockage of the tube 286 should be evaluated.

287

#### **G.** Information from In Vivo and In Vitro Studies

288 289

If the sponsor anticipates use of liquid or soft foods as vehicles for drug administration during drug development and/or after market approval, data from in vivo and in vitro studies should be submitted. Studies to support the compatibility and suitability of the selected vehicle(s), the supporting methodology, and timing of such studies should be discussed with the appropriate review division early in drug development (such as during pre-IND, end-of-phase 2 (EOP2) meetings), or postapproval, as applicable.

296

If a liquid or soft food is ultimately recommended as a vehicle(s) for drug administration, patient
dosing information should be included in the labeling. For example, this includes the studied
vehicle(s), volume of the vehicle(s), frequency of dosing, along with the pharmacokinetic
information, if available, which should be included in the Pharmacokinetics subsection of the
CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY section of labeling. For complete information, see section H:

- 302 Recommendations for Labeling.
- 303
- 304 Under special circumstances the Agency may request additional studies and in vivo
- bioavailability data if deemed appropriate (e.g., if there is reason to believe there may be
  interactions requiring further assessment).
- The in vitro methods described in this document are for selection and qualification of vehicles; they do not replace in vivo food-effect studies.<sup>19</sup>
- 310 311

#### 1 H. Recommendations for Labeling

- 312313 If a liquid or soft food is qualified as a vehicle(s) and recommended for the administration of a
- drug for the target indicated population (or sub-population), such information should be
- summarized in labeling. The labeling should include sufficient information to ensure that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Williams NT, 2008, Medication administration through enteral feeding tubes, Am J Health-Syst Pharm, 65:2347-2357.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See guidance for industry *Food-Effect Bioavailability and Fed Bioequivalence Studies* and guidance for industry *Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies Submitted in NDAs or INDs-General Considerations*.

316 317 318	healthcare provider, patient, and/or caregiver has the essential information to use the recommended vehicle(s) to administer the drug safely and effectively and to avoid substitutions that are unacceptable. Labeling should include as applicable:				
310	that are unacceptable. Labering should merude, as appreable.				
320 321	• Recommended type(s) of soft food or liquid vehicle(s);				
322 323	• Detailed vehicle use information, such as the volume and temperature range of the qualified vehicle(s) that are approved for use;				
324 325 326 327	• Recommended critical manipulations, such as emptying capsule contents or crushing a tablet for ease of administration;				
328 329 330	• For repeated or multiple use dosing, information concerning the conditions to support preparation of the drug product-vehicle mixture and instructions for its storage between uses;				
332 333 334	• Information on compatibility of the recommended vehicle(s) with feeding tube characteristics;				
335 336 337	• Information on liquids and soft foods found to be unacceptable, and the rationale for avoiding their use as vehicles.				
338 339 340 341	A succinct summary of the compatability and suitability study and data that supports the use of liquid or soft food vehicles in the target population as well as relevant data concerning unacceptable vehicles should be discussed in the Pharmacokinetics subsection of the CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY section. <sup>20</sup>				
342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351	The DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION section should include directions for administering the drug using the recommended liquid or soft food vehicle. <sup>22</sup> This section should also include information on the target indicated patient population for delivering the drug using a liquid or soft food vehicle (e.g., pediatric patients, older adults who have difficulty swallowing solid oral dosage forms) as well as the preparation, administration, and storage of the mixed drug product-vehicle. The Instructions for Use should contain detailed patient-appropriate directions for the preparation, administration, and storage of the mixed drug product-vehicle by a patient or caregiver, if applicable.				
352 353 354 355 356 357 358	A cross-reference to the CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY section for additional details concerning the liquid or soft food vehicles should be included. See Appendix B for examples for the preparation, administration, and storage of the mixed drug product-vehicle in the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION section.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See guidance for industry *Clinical Pharmacology Section of Labeling for Human Prescription Drug and Biological Products-Content and Format.* 

Draft — Not for Implementation

## 359 IV. IN VITRO METHODS RECOMMENDED FOR ASSESSING IMPACT OF A 360 VEHICLE ON PRODUCT QUALITY ATTRIBUTES

361

The following approaches are recommended to determine whether a proposed vehicle is compatible and suitable for use with the drug product. Also, see Appendix C (Sample Handling and Qualification Decision Tree) and section IV.B.

365

The product quality data generated from the following studies, as applicable for the dosage form, should be included in a report to support qualification of each proposed vehicle and to support that the drug product quality is maintained when the drug product is mixed with the qualified vehicles.

370

#### A. Analytical Method

371 372

A validated analytical method should be used to quantify the amount of drug substance in the vehicle mixture for the assessments described in section B below. The drug substance may or may not be exposed to the vehicle depending on the dosage form and sample preparation. If the dosage form remains intact in the vehicle, changes in assay (potency) and drug product

377 performance/integrity are not expected, but the absence of such changes should be verified.

378

The analytical method for assay of drug substance in the proposed vehicle should be developed and validated in accordance with the principles outlined in guidances.<sup>21,22,23,24</sup> The source of analytical interferences, if observed, between ingredients in liquids or soft foods with the drug substance and the excipients in the dosage forms should be determined.

383 384

389

## 384 B. Assessment of the Drug Substance in the "Mixture"385

A series of assessments should be performed to qualify the proposed vehicle for a specific drug
product as outlined in the Sample Handling and Qualification decision tree in Appendix C.
The following describes the sequence of the assessments in the decision tree:

*390 1. Sample Handling* 

A basic screen should be carried out to determine stability of the drug substance in standard GI
buffer media and in Fed State Simulated Gastric Fluid (FeSSGF), which is buffer media
containing milk. Sample handling processes, depending on the stability of the drug substance in
the screening media, or the ability of a drug product coating to prevent exposure to a drug
substance that is unstable in the screening media, are described in the decision tree in Appendix
C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See guidance for industry Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation for Drugs and Biologics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See ICH guidance for industry *Q2R1Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See ICH guidance for industry Q2A Text on Validation of Analytical Procedures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See ICH guidance for industry Q2B Validation of Analytical Procedures: Methodology.

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

399 For sample preparation approach B, described in Appendix C, the intact drug product or 400 particulate material containing the drug substance should be separated from the mixture, and 401 integrity and dissolution tests should be performed on the solid particles. 402 403 2. Integrity, Potency, Stability, and Homogeneity 404 405 **Integrity:** A qualified vehicle should be shown to maintain the drug substance quality attributes. If the drug product has a coating and is used intact, the qualified vehicle should have no impact 406 407 on the coating and as a result, on the integrity of the drug substance within the drug product. In 408 the cases where a coated tablet is to be crushed before mixing and the integrity of the coated 409 tablet is intentionally compromised, or where the drug product dissolves in the vehicle, exposing 410 the drug substance, the potential for the proposed vehicle to impact the integrity of the drug 411 substance (e.g., changes in polymorphic form, loss of bead integrity) should be evaluated. 412 413 **Potency** (assay): Potency assessment should determine the amount of the drug substance in the 414 drug product-vehicle mixture to support the recommended labeled use time. The testing should 415 be carried out after mixing or dissolving and again two hours after incubating the drug product 416 with the vehicle to support immediate use. If the proposed labeling will include manipulation of 417 the drug product prior to mixing with the vehicle (e.g., emptying capsule contents or crushing a 418 tablet), this should be done prior to the potency assessment. Samples should be collected at 419 predefined time points and assayed for determination of potency. The test should demonstrate a 420 lack of significant change in assay from the original value (where a significant change is defined as no more than five percent of the original value).<sup>25</sup> 421 422 423 When providing mass balance (total recovery) calculations for products where the sample 424 contains particulate material (sample preparation approach B), the amount of drug substance 425 extracted from particulate or intact drug product (such as coated beads, pellets) and the amount 426 of drug substance dissolved/released into the drug product and vehicle mixture should be 427 determined. The recovery data should be consistent with the labeled claim. 428 429 **Stability:** Stability assessment of the drug product-vehicle mixture should be provided to 430 support labeling instructions for its preparation and labeled use time. To qualify a vehicle for 431 immediate drug administration, a two hour stability assessment (USP controlled room temperature: 20° C-25° C)<sup>26</sup> should be conducted in a manner consistent with immediate use of 432 433 the mixture as described in the labeling. Additionally, stability assessment under refrigerated 434 conditions (USP controlled cold temperature: 2° C-8° C) may be needed. 435 436 If potency testing of the drug product and the vehicle mixture suggests possible interactions (e.g., 437 a significant loss in the amount of the drug substance is observed), the stability assessment 438 should include testing for degradation products to verify drug substance and drug product 439 integrity, as applicable. Stability-indicating methods should be used to determine the presence of 440 an impurity (in amounts exceeding the accepted threshold) or formation of new degradants as a 441 result of a potential interaction between the multiple components of the drug product and vehicle 442 mixture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See ICH guidance for industry *Q1A(R2)* Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See USP 40-NF 35, General Chapter <659> PACKAGING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS.

Draft — Not for Implementation

Homogeneity (dose uniformity): The proposed vehicle(s) should also be suitable for preparation of homogeneous mixtures. The drug product should be thoroughly mixed with the liquid or soft food to ensure a homogeneous mixture. Even though the drug product and vehicle mixture is prepared for immediate use, it is possible that the patient may not ingest all of the mixture immediately. In such cases, the more homogeneous the mixture is, the more reliable the estimate of the ingested dose. To evaluate the homogeneity of the mixture, the drug product and vehicle mixture should be divided into equal portions (n=3 to 6) and tested.

451 452

#### 3. Dissolution/Drug Release Testing

453 454 Composition of soft foods or liquids such as added thickeners, sweetening agents, and other ingredients can alter release and delivery of drug substance from the drug product.<sup>27</sup> In cases 455 456 where the drug substance is not immediately dissolved in the liquid or soft food, dissolution/ release testing of drug substance from the dosage form mixed with the proposed vehicle should 457 be carried out according to established methods.<sup>28</sup> Dissolution testing should be conducted in 458 459 media typically used for testing solid oral dosage forms and USP Apparatus I or Apparatus II can 460 be used. The following dissolution media are generally recommended: (1) 0.1 N HCl or simulated gastric fluid USP without enzymes; (2) USP buffer at pH 4.5; (3) USP buffer at pH 6.8 461 462 or simulated intestinal fluid USP with or without enzymes: and (4) FFESSGF.<sup>29,30</sup> The sample preparation process should enable assessment of drug dissolution/release patterns for the drug 463 464 product-vehicle mixture in a manner consistent with drug dissolution/release characteristics and 465 claims for the drug product.

466

467 Typically, 12 individual units of the dosage form are used for dissolution testing of a drug

468 product. This information should be included in the vehicle qualification report or cross-

469 referenced to the drug product information in the submission. For dissolution/release testing of

470 drug substance from the drug product-vehicle mixture, data from six units mixed into the

471 proposed vehicle should be collected at each pre-determined sampling time. A comparison of the

dissolution profile for the original product with the drug product mixed with the proposed
 vehicle should meet the similarity factor (f2) acceptance criteria.<sup>31</sup>

474

475 Depending on the targeted patient population, dosage form, and drug substance characteristics,

additional in vitro testing may be appropriate to understand the effect of the proposed vehicle(s)

477 on the in vivo dissolution of the drug product.

- 478
- 479

in Dissolution Testing, Dissolution technologies, p 15-28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Manrique, YJ, Lee, DJ, Islam, F, Nissen, LM, Cichero, Stokes, JR, Steadman, KJ, 2014, Crushed Tablets: Does the Administration of Food Vehicles and Thickened Fluids to Aid Medication Swallowing Alter Drug Release? J Pharm Sci 17(2):207-219.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See guidance for industry *Dissolution Testing of Immediate Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Klein, S, 2010, The use of Biorelevant media to forecast in vivo performance of a drug, AAPSJ, p 397-406.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Marques, MRC, Loebenberg, R, and Almukainzi, M, 2011, Simulated Biological Fluids with Possible Application

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Ibid.

- 480 4. **Dosage Form Specific Considerations** 481 482 For liquid drug products such as syrups, emulsions, or similar dosage forms, it may be possible 483 to mix the drug product homogenously with the vehicle. In vitro methods for product quality and 484 performance assessments should follow sample preparation and handling, see Appendix C, 485 Approach A of the Decision Tree. 486 487 Dosage form characteristics and composition of the drug product should be considered to ensure 488 that sample preparation and handling approaches are consistent with the intended in vivo 489 performance of the drug product. 490 491 Certain use conditions, such as emergency use, and/or use in a professional healthcare setting, 492 may necessitate other testing approaches that are not discussed in this guidance. We recommend 493 that sponsors consult with the appropriate review division for cases that require unique 494 considerations. 495 496 497 V. LOCATION OF DATA IN SUBMISSIONS 498 499 The information supporting selection and gualification of the vehicle to be mixed with the drug should be provided as a separate report in the 3.2.P.2 (Pharmaceutical development) section of a 500 common technical document (CTD)<sup>32</sup>-formatted application. 501 502 In the proposed labeling portion of a submission, information related to the drug product-vehicle 503 504 mixture including important preparation and administration instructions should be included in 505 the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION section, and any relevant pharmacokinetic 506 information, if available, should be included in the Pharmacokinetics subsection of the
- 507 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY section of labeling.
- 508

 $<sup>^{32}</sup>$  See ICH guidance for industry M4Q(R1) Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use.

 $\mathit{Draft}-\mathit{Not}\ \mathit{for}\ \mathit{Implementation}$ 

#### 509 APPENDIX A

510

#### 511 Commonly Used Soft Foods and Liquids With Their Approximate pH Range

512

	pH range
Apples (puree)	3.34 - 3.90
Apple juice	3.35 - 4.00
Applesauce	3.10 - 3.60
Baby food, unstrained	5.95 - 6.05
Bananas (puree)	4.5 - 5.2
Buttermilk	4.41 - 4.83
Carrots (puree)	5.88 - 6.40
Chocolate pudding <sup>(a)</sup>	5.5 - 6.0
Coconut milk	6.1 – 7.0
Cranberry juice	2.30 - 2.52
Drinking water <sup>(b)</sup>	6.5 - 8.5
Fruit jellies	3.0 – 3.5
Fruit jam	3.5 – 4.5
Grapefruit juice <sup>(c)</sup>	2.90 - 3.25
Honey <sup>(d)</sup>	3.70 - 4.20
Infant formula	5.7 - 6.0
Maple syrup <sup>(e)</sup>	4.6 - 5.15
Milk	6.4 - 6.8
Orange juice	3.30 - 4.19
Orange marmalade	3.00 - 3.33
Peanut butter	6.28
Pineapple juice	3.30 - 3.60
Rice pudding <sup>(a)</sup>	4-5
Soybean milk	7
Strawberries	3.00 - 3.90
Strawberry jam	3.00 - 3.40
Yogurt	4.4 - 5.0

513

- 514 Reference, unless otherwise noted,
- 515 <u>https://www.clemson.edu/extension/hgic/food/pdf/hgic3030.pdf</u>.
- 516
- 517 a: Clin. Ther. 2008, 30 (7), 1300-1308.
- b: National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations (<u>http://www.water-research.net/index.php/standards/secondary-</u>
   <u>standards</u>).
- 520 c: Grapefruit juice is not recommended for using as a vehicle
- 521 (www.health.harvard.edu/fhg/updates/update0206d.shtml). Its inclusion here is for reference purposes only.
- d: Honey is not recommended for children under age of 12 (Spika JS, N Shaffer, N Hargrett-Bean, S Collin, KL
- 523 MacDonald, PA Blake, 1989, Risk Factors for Infant Botulism in the United States, Am J Dis Child, 143(7):828-
- 524 832, and <u>https://www.cdc.gov/dotw/botulism/index.html</u>).
- 525 e: <u>http://elitepublishing.net/ph\_foods.html</u>.
- 526
- 527

528	APPENDIX B
529 530 531	Examples of Labeling Language
532 533 534 535 536	The following examples illustrate labeling text for the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION section for drug products (as is or in a manipulated form) that can be mixed with liquids or soft foods. The labeling should include specific use information, such as the volume and temperature of the qualified vehicle(s) approved for use.
530 537	• Drug X capsules should be swallowed intact with a glass of water. For patients with
538	swallowing difficulties, Drug X capsules can be opened and the contents sprinkled onto
539	a teaspoon (5 mL) or tablespoon (15 mL) of soft food and ingested immediately. Use
540	only foods that do not require chewing, such as apricot, banana, or sweet potato baby
541	food; applesauce; and instant pudding. Contact of the capsule contents with foods such
542	as milk, custard, ice cream, and many other dairy products can dissolve the protective
543	(or enteric) coating and destroy the drug substance.
544	
545	• Drug Y packet contents can be administered 1) dissolved in 1 teaspoonful (5 mL) of
546	cold or room temperature milk or breast milk, or 2) mixed with a teaspoonful (5 mL)
547	of cold or room temperature applesauce or banana puree. Puddings or formula
548	containing soybean flour, and vegetable purees should not be used because the fiber in
549	these foods can bind the drug substance. Liquids or other foods can be ingested
550	subsequent to the administration of Drug Y packet contents.
551	
552	• Once Drug Y packet is opened, the full dose (with or without mixing with milk, breast
553	milk, or the apple and banana puree) must be administered immediately. If all of the
554	mixture is not ingested, discard any unused portion. Any unused contents of Drug Y
555	packet must not be stored for future use.
556	

Draft — Not for Implementation

#### 557 APPENDIX C

558

564

#### 559 Sample Handling and Qualification Decision Tree

Approaches for sample preparation and handling are described to support drug product quality
assessments to determine whether the selected soft food or liquid qualifies for use as a vehicle.

- Is the drug substance stable in YES NO standard buffers + FeSSGF (contains 3.5% of milk fat with pH 5 buffer 1:1)? Does the drug substance in the drug product completely dissolve in the Does coating of the intact drug product prevent exposure of the drug YES NO YES study vehicle? substance to the above media? Follow sample handling Approach A Follow sample handling Approach B NO Does the drug product (intact or manipulated) pass the potency, stability YES NO integrity and homogeneity tests in the study vehicle? The study vehicle is qualified and can The study vehicle cannot be used for be used for the specific drug product the specific drug product administration. Information should be administration. Information should be available in the drug product label. available in the drug product label.
- 565 566 567 568

\* Drug product labeling should describe the qualified vehicle and any studied vehicles that cannot be used.

# Sample handling Approach A (drug substance is completely dissolved and particulate material, if any, is not the drug substance):

572 Depending on the type of sample (diluted soft food or liquid), sample preparation may involve a 573 simple filtration step followed by chromatographic separation and analysis of the drug substance; 574 in some cases, an additional extraction step from the soft food or liquid may be required before 575 sample analysis.

576

## 577 Sample handling Approach B (sample contains particulate material and some of the drug 578 can be in the particulate material):

- 579
- a) Once the sample is taken from the media (soft food or liquid), the particulate matter is
  washed and separated for further analysis.
- 582

583 584	b)	The wash and the remaining soft food or liquid is combined and processed for assaying for the drug substance.
585		
586	c)	The particulate matter (such as pellets) retrieved from the vehicle and washed as in
587		Approach A above should be tested according to the dissolution method to determine
588		release characteristics, as well as the amount of remaining drug substance in the
589		particulate material.
590		•
591	d)	Depending on the type of sample (diluted soft food or liquid), sample preparation in
592	,	Approach B above may involve a simple filtration step followed by chromatographic
593		separation and analysis of the drug substance; in some cases an additional extraction step
594		from the soft food or liquid may be required before sample analysis.
595		